

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/550,596	04/17/2000	Mauro Bettiati	10138-0002-2	1077
75	90 12/05/2001			_
Oblon Spivak Mcclelland Maier and Neustadt P C Fourth Floor 1755 Jefferson Davis Highway			EXAMINER	
			RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO	
Arlington, VA	22202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.e.						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055	09/550,596	BETTIATI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Armando Rodriguez	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/550,596

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the curve" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "device" in line 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the fiber Bragg network" in lines 17-18. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: a quantic well laser, the curve and device.

Claim 1 fails to portray structural connections or relationships of the above limitations. It is not understood of claiming the limitation to "the curve".

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the network reflection coefficient" in lines 2-3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Page 3

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the network" in line 10. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the network" in line 16. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the network" in 22. There is insufficient antecedent

basis for this limitation in the claim.

Examiner Comment

Examiner is unable to conduct a proper search of the claimed invention due to

the numerous 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph problems and in particular the limitation

of the claimed curve.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed

to Armando Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-6218. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-

hour day / M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font

can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed

to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Armando Rodriguez

Examiner Art Unit 2877

AR/FGF

December 3, 2001

Frank G Font Supervisor Art Unit 2877